



NASF SURFACE TECHNOLOGY WHITE PAPERS 83 (6), 4-6 (March 2019)

Phosphates: How They Impact Your Discharge

A Synopsis of a Presentation given at SUR/FIN 2018 (Cleveland, Ohio)*

by

*Robin Deal***

Hubbard-Hall Inc.

Inman, South Carolina, USA

Editor's Note: The following is a synopsis of a presentation given at NASF SUR/FIN 2018, in Cleveland, Ohio on June 4, 2018 in Session 3, Waste Management: Perspectives Connecting Finishers.

ABSTRACT

This presentation starts with an overview of the element phosphorus and its uses, which ultimately lead to eutrophication of our water supply. To alleviate this issue and ensure that the Earth's water supply is preserved for future generations, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed guidelines for water and wastewater in 1972. The allowable limits of phosphates discharged into various types of bodies of water and the fees associated for not following these regulations are discussed here. To meet EPA regulations, a facility needs to understand how to remove phosphorus from its water. This article discusses the two processes – chemical and biological removal. Finally, a look the future additional work that is being done is discussed, including the Hypoxia Task Force, industry research for better practices, and Nutrient Management Plans for the recovery of impaired water.

Introduction

Phosphates are salts of phosphoric acids. Phosphorus is derived from the Greek “*phōs*phoros,” meaning “morning star” or “bringer of light.” It is the 15th element in the Periodic Table and is essential to all life with the average human body containing 25.6 ounces (751.3 g). It is found in products ranging from kitchen matches to conversion coatings for corrosion resistance (as phosphates).

Phosphate conversion coatings on metals are used to impart corrosion resistance, lubricity, or to serve as a base layer for subsequent coatings such as paints, dyes, etc. In simple terms, the process solution consists of a phosphate salt in a solution of phosphoric acid. The immersion process is commonly applied to steel parts, but is also applicable to other metallic substrates, including zinc, cadmium and tin, among others. The conversion coating consists of a phosphate of the substrate metal, e.g., iron phosphate in the case of steel.

Environmental issues

Beside surface finishing applications, phosphate chemistry is widely used in commerce, including agriculture and other areas. Accordingly, phosphates in process wastewaters can produce serious problems in the environment, the most harmful being eutrophication.

*Compiled by Dr. James H. Lindsay, Technical Editor - NASF

** Corresponding author

Ms. Robin Deal
Technical Field Service
Hubbard-Hall
1101 Compton Bridge Road
Inman, SC 29349
Phone: (864)-472-2258
E-mail: rdeal@hubbardhall.com



NASF SURFACE TECHNOLOGY WHITE PAPERS 83 (6), 4-6 (March 2019)

Eutrophication is caused by excessive phosphorus in water. In rivers and streams, eutrophication can result in serious algae blooms, from which harmful toxins are derived. A few of the more serious consequences are:

- Fish kills
- Odors
- Foul tastes in the drinking water supply
- Visual unpleasantness
- Closed public lakes in recreation areas

The Clean Water Act of 1972 gave the US EPA permission to establish guidelines for water and wastewater, including phosphates. The EPA established standards for the wastewater discharge of phosphates to receiving bodies of water, as follows:

- | | |
|--------------------------|------------|
| • Streams and rivers | 0.1 ppm |
| • Streams entering lakes | 0.05 ppm |
| • Lakes and reservoirs | 0.025 ppm. |

The EPA guidelines for nutrient management recommend that publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) charge surcharges whenever the following limits are not met:

- Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD limit of 210 ppm with a surcharge of \$0.232 per pound
- Total suspended solids (TSS) limit of 230 ppm with a surcharge of \$0.186 per pound
- Total nitrogen limit of 30 ppm with a surcharge of \$1.17 per pound
- Total phosphorus limit of 12 ppm with a surcharge of \$1.32 per pound.

An industry is given a permitted amount of phosphorus they can discharge (e.g., 15 lbs./day with a total discharge flow of 150,000 gal/day (GPD)). To convert pounds to parts per million (ppm):

$$\text{ppm} = \text{lbs. of chemical} / 8.34 \times \text{MGD (million gal/day)}$$

For the above example, *i.e.*, 15 lbs. P / day at 150,000 GPD,

$$15 / 8.34 \times 0.15 \text{ MGD} = 11.99 \text{ ppm}$$

This means that 12 ppm of phosphorus can be discharged to meet the permit. Anything more can carry a surcharge per EPA recommendations.

Phosphorus removal

There are today two primary technologies for phosphate removal from wastewater: (a) chemical and (b) biological.

Chemical removal

A number of chemical agents have been used to remove phosphorus from wastewaters. In an alkaline solution, calcium reacts to form calcium carbonate. At $\text{pH} \geq 10$, the excess calcium bonds with phosphorus to precipitate as a hydroxyapatite. Copperas (*i.e.*, ferrous sulfate), or iron, bonds with phosphorus to form iron phosphate which easily precipitates out. Alum, or more commonly, potassium alum, $\text{KAl}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$, works much like calcium, but its usage will be based upon removal requirements.

Current research in our laboratory is underway involving new technology. This proprietary technology has a long track record of proven success and is readily available, cost effective and affords ease of operation.

NASF SURFACE TECHNOLOGY WHITE PAPERS 83 (6), 4-6 (March 2019)

Biological removal

Certain bacteria are capable of storing phosphorus as a polyphosphate and are referred to as polyphosphate-accumulating organisms (PAO). These systems involve different setups, depending on the type of bacteria. In industrial applications, biological removal is used as a “Final Polish” to achieve permit limits.

Research is also being done on biological phosphate removal. Our proprietary technology has been shown to be an efficient means of phosphate removal. There are multiple types of systems to choose from and training is readily available to new operators.

Future outlook

The ultimate goal of all of these efforts is a clean environment. For example, a Hypoxia Task Force currently involves eleven states and the EPA working together to reduce the size of the Gulf of Mexico’s dead zone. This zone comprises the largest hypoxia zone in the United States. The Task Force was established in May 1998 with a charter. Members consist of Federal, State and Tribal representation, and are diligently working to solve this serious problem.



Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone

Elsewhere, industries are driving research for better practices from non-phosphate cleaners to alternatives to phosphate-coatings. Non-phosphate cleaners are being developed and widely used.

Zirconium is replacing phosphate in paint-prep lines. Phosphate recovery systems are being utilized, and new technologies for phosphate lines are close to industrial use.

Beyond these efforts, each state is working on a tailored nutrient management plan for recovery of impaired waters.

About the author



Robin Deal is a Wastewater Field Service Technician with Hubbard-Hall, Inc., in Inman, South Carolina. She holds a Physical/Chemical Waste Water Operator’s license for North Carolina and is currently working toward a Bachelor of Science degree from the North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University (2017-2020).